

Stratification and coordinate systems for the moduli space of rational functions

Masayo Fujimura and Masahiko Taniguchi

preprint

Abstract

In this note, we give a new simple system of global parameters on the moduli space of rational functions, and clarify the relation to the parameters indicating location of fixed points and the indices at them. As a byproduct, we solve a conjecture of Milnor affirmatively.

1 Introduction

Let Rat_d be the set of all rational functions of degree $d > 1$, and M_d the set of all Möbius conjugacy classes of elements in Rat_d , which is called the *moduli space of rational functions of degree d* .

Here it is a fundamental problem to give a good system of parameters on M_d . And McMullen showed in [McMullen 87] that, outside the Latté loci, every multiplier spectrum at periodic points corresponds to a finite number of points in M_d . This result is epoch-making, and many researches have been done on the system of multipliers, or indices, at periodic points. Among other things, the following example is well-known.

Example 1 ([Milnor 93]). When $d = 2$, there are 3 fixed points counted including multiplicities, the indices of which satisfy a single simple relation, called Fatou's index formula. Hence, we can consider a map $\Phi_2 : M_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ induced by two of three fundamental symmetric functions of multipliers at fixed points. This map Φ_2 is bijective, and hence gives a coordinate system for M_2 .

Remark 1. *In the case of polynomials, the set of multipliers, or indices, at fixed points gives an interesting system of parameters on the moduli space of polynomials. For the details, see [Fujimura 07] and [Fujimura and Taniguchi 08].*

Clearly, the multipliers at fixed points only are not enough to parametrize the moduli space M_d when $d > 2$. But, it seems difficult to find a suitable set of multipliers at periodic points for obtaining a good system of global parameters. On the other hand, in the case of polynomials, the set of monic centered ones

is often used as a virtual set of representatives of points in the moduli space MPoly_d of polynomials of degree d , and it is well-known that the coefficients of them give a useful set of parameters on MPoly_d , which in particular induces the complex orbifold structure of MPoly_d . We give, in §2, a family of rational functions whose coefficients give a good system of parameters on M_d in a similar sense as in the case of the family of monic centered polynomials.

In §3, we investigate the correspondence between these coefficient parameters and the union of the set of the indices and location of fixed points, which gives a candidate of an important subsystem of parameters on M_d . Here, the overlap type of fixed points naturally gives a stratification of M_d . We introduce a natural system of coordinates on each stratum. As a byproduct, we give an affirmative answer to a conjecture of Milnor proposed in the book [Milnor 06].

2 A normalized family of rational functions

A general form of a rational function of degree d is

$$\frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}$$

with polynomials $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ of degree at most d , where $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ have no common non-constant factors and one of them has d as the degree. To consider the moduli space M_d , we may assume without loss of generality that $Q(z)$ is of degree d , and that the resultant $\text{Resul}(P, Q)$ of $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ does not vanish. Also it imposes no restriction to assume that $Q(z)$ is monic. We call such a rational function satisfying the above conditions a *canonical function*.

Definition 1. The *canonical family* C_d of rational functions of degree d is defined as the totality of canonical functions of degree d as above:

$$\left\{ R(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} \in \text{Rat}_d \left| \deg Q = d, \text{Resul}(P, Q) \neq 0, Q \text{ is monic} \right. \right\}.$$

Moreover, writing

$$P(z) = a_d z^d + \cdots + a_0, \quad Q(z) = z^d + b_{d-1} z^{d-1} + \cdots + b_0,$$

we call the vector $(a_d, \cdots, a_0, b_{d-1}, \cdots, b_0)$ the system of *coefficient parameters* for C_d .

Every point in M_d contains an element in C_d as a representative. On the other hand, since M_d is $(2d-2)$ -dimensional, while the dimension of C_d is $2d+1$, we can consider to impose three normalization conditions on elements in C_d . Here we impose

$$a_0 = 0, \quad b_1 = -1, \quad \text{and} \quad b_0 = 1.$$

We call a rational function in C_d satisfying these conditions a *normalized function*.

Definition 2. We call the family consisting of all normalized functions in C_d the *normalized family* of degree d , and denoted by N_d .

More explicitly,

$$N_d = \left\{ \frac{a_d z^d + \cdots + a_1 z}{z^d + b_{d-1} z^{d-1} + \cdots + b_2 z^2 - z + 1} \in C_d \right\},$$

and we call the vector $(a_d, \dots, a_1, b_{d-1}, \dots, b_2)$ the system of *coefficient parameters* for N_d . Here, we can show that N_d is an ample family of rational functions for every d .

Example 2. When $d = 2$, the natural projection of N_2 to M_2 is surjective. To see this, it suffices to show that every possible set of multipliers $\{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$ at fixed points corresponds to a rational function in N_2 (cf. Example 1).

First, if the set is $\{1, 1, 1\}$, then a corresponding rational function in N_2 is uniquely determined (cf. Example 4) and is

$$R(z) = \frac{-z^2 + z}{z^2 - z + 1}.$$

If the set is $\{1, 1, m\}$ with $m \neq 1$, then a corresponding rational function is

$$R(z) = \frac{z(mz + p)}{p(z^2 - z + 1)}$$

with a solution p of $p^2 + (m + 1)p + m^2 = 0$.

Next, in the remaining cases, the set $\{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$ of multipliers satisfies that $m_j \neq 1$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$) and Fatou's index formula

$$\frac{1}{1 - m_1} + \frac{1}{1 - m_2} + \frac{1}{1 - m_3} = 1.$$

Here if the set is $\{0, 0, 2\}$, we can see that a corresponding rational function is

$$R(z) = \frac{(3/2)z^2}{z^2 - z + 1}.$$

And otherwise, we can choose m and m' among $\{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$ so that

$$m' \notin \{0, \pm i/\sqrt{3}\}, \quad mm' - 1 \neq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad m + m' - 2 \neq 0,$$

which are assumed to be m_1 and m_2 , respectively. Then the equation

$$(-m_1^2 + 3m_1 - 3)p^2 + (2m_2m_1 - 3m_2 - 1)p - m_2^2 = 0$$

has a non-zero solution p . With this p , we see that a corresponding rational function is

$$R(z) = \frac{-((m_1 - 2)p - m_2)((m_1p + 1)z + (m_1^2 - 2m_1)p - m_2m_1)z}{p((m_1 - 1)p - m_2 + 1)(z^2 - z + 1)}.$$

Here, $(m_1 - 1)p - m_2 + 1 \neq 0$ from the assumption, and we conclude the assertion when $d = 2$.

Note that, in terms of the fundamental symmetric functions

$$\sigma_1 = m_1 + m_2 + m_3, \quad \sigma_2 = m_1m_2 + m_1m_3 + m_2m_3, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_3 = m_1m_2m_3,$$

the natural projection of $N_2 \cong \{(a_2, a_1) \mid a_2^2 + a_1a_2 + a_1^2 \neq 0\}$ to M_2 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \frac{2a_2^2 + a_1^2a_2 + a_1^3 - 2a_1^2 + 3a_1}{a_2^2 + a_1a_2 + a_1^2}, \\ \sigma_2 &= \frac{-(a_1^2 - 2a_1)a_2^2 + (a_1 - 2)a_2 - 2a_1^3 + 4a_1^2 - 4a_1 + 3}{a_2^2 + a_1a_2 + a_1^2}, \\ \sigma_3 &= \sigma_1 - 2. \end{aligned}$$

In general, we obtain the following.

Theorem 1. *For every $d \geq 2$, the natural projection of N_d to M_d is surjective.*

Proof. The assertion for the case that $d = 2$ is shown in the above example. When $d = 3$, we can show the assertion by direct calculations using a symbolic and algebraic computation system, the detail of which is contained in §4 for the sake of readers' convenience. So, we assume that $d \geq 4$ in the sequel of the proof.

Let x be a point of M_d and $R(z)$ a rational function of degree d contained in the Möbius conjugacy class x . Then we may assume that $R(z)$ is canonical and $R(0) = 0$, by taking a Möbius conjugate of $R(z)$ if necessary, which implies in particular that

$$a_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b_0 \neq 0.$$

Next, if we take conjugate of $R(z)$ by a translation $L(z) = z + \alpha$. Then we have

$$L^{-1} \circ R \circ L(z) = \frac{(a_d(z + \alpha)^d + \cdots + a_0) - \alpha((z + \alpha)^d + \cdots + b_0)}{(z + \alpha)^d + \cdots + b_0},$$

which we write as

$$\frac{\tilde{a}_dz^d + \cdots + \tilde{a}_0}{z^d + \tilde{b}_{d-1}z^{d-1} + \cdots + \tilde{b}_0}.$$

Here, if α is a fixed point of $R(z)$, then

$$\tilde{a}_0 = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{b}_0 \neq 0.$$

Also, taking as α one, say ζ_R , of fixed points of $R(z)$ with the largest multiplicities, we may assume that $R(z)$ has no non-zero fixed points with multiplicity d . Moreover, if 0 is a non-simple fixed point of $L^{-1} \circ R \circ L(z)$, then

$$\tilde{a}_1 = \tilde{b}_0.$$

And hence if $\tilde{a}_1 \neq \tilde{b}_0$, then every fixed points of $R(z)$ is simple, and there is a non-zero fixed point ζ_R of $R(z)$ such that $\tilde{b}_1 \neq 0$. Indeed, letting $\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_d\}$ be the set of non-zero fixed points of $R(z)$, we consider conjugates of $R(z)$ by $L_k(z) = z + \zeta_k$. Then

$$\tilde{b}_1 = d\zeta_k^{d-1} + (d-1)b_{d-1}\zeta_k^{d-2} + \dots + b_1$$

can not be 0 for all k . Also repeating such change of fixed points again if necessary, we can further assume that there are neither circles nor lines in $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ which contain all non-zero fixed points, since we have assumed that $d \geq 4$.

Thus we may assume from the beginning that $a_0 = 0$, $b_0 \neq 0$, and $(db_0 - a_1)z + b_1$ is not constantly 0, $R(z)$ has no non-zero fixed points with the multiplicities d , and if $R(z)$ has simple fixed points only, then there are neither circles nor lines in $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ which contain all non-zero fixed points.

Now, set

$$T(z) = \frac{z}{pz + q} \quad (q \neq 0).$$

Then we have

$$T^{-1} \circ R \circ T(z) = \frac{q(a_d z^d + \dots + a_1 z(pz + q)^{d-1})}{-p(a_d z^d + \dots + a_1 z(pz + q)^{d-1}) + (z^d + \dots + b_0(pz + q)^d)}.$$

The constant term of the numerator remains to be 0, and the coefficients of z^d in the numerator and the denominator change to

$$\begin{aligned} a_d^*(p, q) &= q(a_d + \dots + a_1 p^{d-1}) \quad \text{and} \\ b_d^*(p) &= -p(a_d + \dots + a_1 p^{d-1}) + (1 + \dots + b_0 p^d), \end{aligned}$$

respectively. If $b_d^*(p) \neq 0$, divide both of the numerator and the denominator of the conjugate $T^{-1} \circ R \circ T(z)$ by $b_d^*(p)$. Then the coefficients a_1 , b_0 and b_1 , for instance, change to

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(p, q) &= \frac{a_1 q^d}{b_d^*(p)}, \quad b_0(p, q) = \frac{b_0 q^d}{b_d^*(p)}, \\ b_1(p, q) &= \frac{-a_1 p q^{d-1} + b_1 q^{d-1} + db_0 p q^{d-1}}{b_d^*(p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, the condition $b_1(p, q)/b_0(p, q) = -1$ implies that

$$q = q(p) = -\frac{(db_0 - a_1)p + b_1}{b_0}.$$

First, if $b_0 = a_1$, then $b_0(p, q(p))$ is a rational function of p such that the degrees of the numerator and the denominator are exactly d and not greater than $d-1$, respectively. Hence there is a finite p with $b_0(p, q(p)) = 1$. Next, if $db_0 = a_1$, then $b_0(p, q(p))$ is a rational function of p such that the degree of the

denominator is exactly d and the numerator is a non-zero constant. Hence there is a finite p with $b_0(p, q(p)) = 1$. Finally, if otherwise, namely, if $b_0 \neq a_1$ and $db_0 \neq a_1$, then the degrees of the numerator and the denominator are exactly d and $R(z)$ has simple fixed points only. We write non-zero fixed points of $R(z)$ as $\{\zeta_k\}_{k=1}^d$. Suppose that $b_0(p, q(p))$ can take the value 1 at ∞ only. Then with some non-zero constant C ,

$$b_0(p, q(p)) = 1 + \frac{C}{b_d^*(p)},$$

which implies that $\{1/\zeta_k\}_{k=1}^d$ lie on the same circle, for $b_d^*(p) = \prod_{k=1}^d (1 - \zeta_k p)$. But then, $\{\zeta_k\}_{k=1}^d$ should be on a same circle or a line not containing 0, which contradicts to one of the assumptions from the beginning. Hence we conclude also in this case that there is a finite p such that $b_0(p, q(p)) = 1$.

Thus we obtain a $T(z)$ such that $T^{-1} \circ R \circ T(z)$ belongs to N_d if $d \geq 4$, and the proof is now complete. $\square$

For a generic point of M_d , there are only a finite number of rational functions in N_d belonging to the point, as is seen from the proof of Theorem 1. On the other hand, some points of M_d can blow up in N_d as in the following example.

Example 3. Set

$$R(z) = \frac{-3z^3 - 4z^2 - 2z}{z^3 - z - 1}.$$

Then $R(z)$ has a simple fixed point at 0, and one with multiplicity 3 at -1 .

As in the proof of Theorem 1, letting

$$T(z) = \frac{z}{pz - 1 - p} \quad (p \neq -1),$$

set $R_p(z) = T^{-1} \circ R \circ T(z)$. Then we have

$$R_p(z) = \frac{(2p^2 + 4p + 3)z^3 + (-4p^2 - 8p - 4)z^2 + (2p^2 + 4p + 2)z}{(p^2 + 2p + 1)z^3 + (-p^2 - 2p)z^2 + (-p^2 - 2p - 1)z + p^2 + 2p + 1}.$$

Hence if we set $\tilde{p} = 1/(p^2 + 2p + 1)$,

$$R_p(z) = \tilde{R}_{\tilde{p}}(z) = \frac{(\tilde{p} + 2)z^3 - 4z^2 + 2z}{z^3 + (\tilde{p} - 1)z^2 - z + 1}.$$

Thus $\tilde{R}_{\tilde{p}}(z)$ belongs to N_3 , and represents the same point of M_3 for every non-zero $\tilde{p}$. Indeed, every $\tilde{R}_{\tilde{p}}(z)$ is conjugate to $\tilde{R}_1(z)$ by

$$S(z) = \frac{z}{(1 - \tilde{p}^{1/2})z + \tilde{p}^{1/2}}.$$

3 A stratification of the moduli space

Every rational function $R(z) = P(z)/Q(z)$ of degree d not fixing ∞ can be written also as

$$R(z) = z - \frac{\hat{P}(z)}{Q(z)}$$

with monic polynomials $\hat{P}(z)$ and $Q(z)$ of degree $d+1$ and d , respectively. Using this representation, we have another system of parameters, some of which are fixed points of $R(z)$.

Definition 3. Let

$$R(z) = z - \frac{\hat{P}(z)}{Q(z)},$$

with

$$\hat{P}(z) = zQ(z) - P(z) = \prod_{j=1}^p (z - \zeta_j)^{n_j} \quad (\zeta_j \in \mathbb{C}),$$

where ζ_j are mutually distinct and n_j are positive integers which satisfy

$$\sum_{k=1}^p n_k = d + 1.$$

Then we call the set $\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$ the *overlap type* of fixed points of $R(z)$.

We set

$$C\{n_1, \dots, n_p\} = \{R(z) \in C_d \mid \text{the overlap type is } \{n_1, \dots, n_p\}\}$$

and call it the $\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$ -locus of C_d . The subset

$$C'_d = \{R(z) \in C_d \mid \text{the overlap type is not } \{1, \dots, 1\}\}$$

of C_d is called the *overlap locus* of C_d .

Similarly, we can define the $\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$ -locus of N_d by setting

$$N\{n_1, \dots, n_p\} = \{R(z) \in N_d \mid \text{the overlap type is } \{n_1, \dots, n_p\}\}.$$

Also the subset

$$N'_d = \{R(z) \in N_d \mid \text{the overlap type is not } \{1, \dots, 1\}\}$$

of N_d is called the *overlap locus* of N_d .

Since the overlap type of fixed points is invariant under Möbius conjugation, Theorem 1 implies the following result.

Corollary 1. *Let M'_d be the subset of all points of M_d represented by rational functions having non-simple fixed points. Then the natural projection π of N'_d to M'_d is surjective for every $d \geq 2$.*

Definition 4. The image of every $\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$ -locus of N_d by π is called the $\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$ -stratum of M_d , and denoted by $M\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$. The resulting stratification of M_d is called the *overlap type stratification*.

Remark 2. The above loci are defined by algebraic equations (cf. Example 4 and 5), and hence a Zariski open subset of complex algebraic sets in C_d and in N_d (with respect to the system of coefficient parameters). For instance,

$$C'_d = \left\{ \frac{\hat{P}(z)}{Q(z)} \in C_d \mid \text{Discr}(\hat{P}) = 0 \right\}.$$

Example 4. In the case of $d = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} C\{3\} &\cong \left\{ (a_2, a_1, a_0, b_1, b_0) \mid \begin{array}{l} a_1 = b_0 - (b_1 - a_2)^2/3, \\ a_0 = -(b_1 - a_2)^3/27 \end{array} \right\}, \\ C'_2 &\cong \left\{ (a_2, a_1, a_0, b_1, b_0) \mid \begin{array}{l} -27a_0^2 + a_0 \{4(b_1 - a_2)^3 - 18(b_0 - a_1)(b_1 - a_2)\} \\ + (a_1 - b_0)^2(b_1 - a_2)^2 + 4(a_1 - b_0)^3 = 0 \end{array} \right\}, \\ N\{3\} &\cong \{(-1, 1, 0, -1, 1)\}, \\ N'_2 &\cong \{(a_2, a_1, 0, -1, 1) \mid a_1 - 1 = -(a_2 + 1)^2/4 \text{ or } a_1 = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 5. In the case of $d = 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} C\{4\} &\cong \left\{ (a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0, b_2, b_1, b_0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mid \begin{array}{l} a_2 = b_1 - 3(b_2 - a_3)^2/8, \quad a_1 = b_0 - (b_2 - a_3)^3/16, \\ a_0 = -(b_2 - a_3)^4/256 \end{array} \right\}, \\ C'_3 &\cong \left\{ (a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0, b_2, b_1, b_0) \mid D = 0 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D = & 256a_0^3 + a_0^2 \{128(b_1 - a_2)^2 - 144(b_2 - a_3)^2(b_1 - a_2) + 27(b_2 - a_3)^4 \\ & + 192(b_2 - a_3)(b_0 - a_1)\} + a_0 \{16(b_1 - a_2)^4 - 4(b_2 - a_3)^2(b_1 - a_2)^3 \\ & - 80(b_0 - a_1)(b_2 - a_3)(b_1 - a_2)^2 + 18(b_0 - a_1)((b_2 - a_3)^3 + 8(b_0 - a_1))(b_1 - a_2) \\ & - 6(b_0 - a_1)^2(b_2 - a_3)^2\} + (b_0 - a_1)^2(4(b_1 - a_2)^3 - (b_2 - a_3)^2(b_1 - a_2)^2 \\ & - 18(b_0 - a_1)(b_2 - a_3)(b_1 - a_2) + (b_0 - a_1)(4(b_2 - a_3)^3 + 27(b_0 - a_1))) \end{aligned}$$

$$N\{4\} \cong \{(c, -1, 1, 0, c, -1, 1) \mid c \in \mathbb{C}\},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N'_3 &\cong \left\{ (a_3, a_2, a_1, 0, b_2, -1, 1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mid \begin{array}{l} -27(a_1 - 1)^2 + (a_1 - 1)(4(b_2 - a_3)^3 + 18(a_2 + 1)(b_2 - a_3)) \\ + (a_2 + 1)^2(b_2 - a_3)^2 + 4(a_2 + 1)^3 = 0 \text{ or } a_1 = 1 \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is well-known that the denominator $Q(z)$ of $R(z)$ in $C\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$ can be represented uniquely as

$$Q(z) = \sum_{k=1}^p \left\{ \left(\sum_{n=0}^{n_k-1} \alpha_{k,n_k-n} (z - \zeta_k)^n \right) \prod_{j \neq k} (z - \zeta_j)^{n_j} \right\}.$$

In other words, $Q(z)/\hat{P}(z)$ has a unique partial fractions decomposition

$$\frac{\alpha_{1,n_1}}{(z - \zeta_1)^{n_1}} + \dots + \frac{\alpha_{1,1}}{z - \zeta_1} + \frac{\alpha_{2,n_2}}{(z - \zeta_2)^{n_2}} + \dots + \frac{\alpha_{p,1}}{z - \zeta_p}.$$

Here, the assumptions imply that $\alpha_{k,n_k} \neq 0$ for every k and

$$\sum_{k=1}^p \alpha_{k,1} = 1.$$

Definition 5. The set $\{\zeta_k\}$ of fixed points and the set $\{\alpha_{k,\ell}\}$ of coefficients give a system of parameters for $C\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$, and is called the system of *decomposition parameters* for $C\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$.

Theorem 2. *Set*

$$\tilde{E}\{n_1, \dots, n_p\} = \left\{ (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_p, \alpha_{1,1}, \dots, \alpha_{1,n_1}, \alpha_{2,1}, \dots, \alpha_{p,n_p}) \in \mathbb{C}^{d+p+1} \right. \\ \left. \left| \sum_{k=1}^p \alpha_{k,1} = 1, \quad \alpha_{k,n_k} \neq 0 \quad (k = 1, \dots, p) \right. \right\}.$$

Then the natural projection Π of $\tilde{E}\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$ to $C\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$ (with respect to the system of coefficient parameters) is a holomorphic surjection.

Moreover, $C\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$ has a complex manifold structure such that Π is a finite-sheeted holomorphic covering projection.

We call $\tilde{E}\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$ the *marked $\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$ -parameter domain*.

Proof. Since Π is a polynomial map, it is holomorphic. To show other assertions, note that the defining domains of the system of decomposition parameters is the product space

$$\prod_{n=1}^{d+1} C_{N_n}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}),$$

where $C_m(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is the configuration space of m distinct vectors in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and N_n is the number of ℓ with $n_\ell = n$. In particular, $N_n > 0$ only if

$$\min\{n_1, \dots, n_p\} \leq n \leq \max\{n_1, \dots, n_p\},$$

the set $\{(n, 1), \dots, (n, N_n)\}$ is empty if there are no ℓ with $n_\ell = n$, and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{d+1} nN_n = d + 1.$$

The coordinates of the product space can be written explicitly as follows;

$$\begin{aligned}
& E\{n_1, \dots, n_p\} \\
&= \left\{ \left(\left((\zeta_{1,1}, \alpha_{(1,1),1}), \dots, (\zeta_{1,N_1}, \alpha_{(1,N_1),1}) \right), \dots \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left((\zeta_{d+1,1}, \alpha_{(d+1,1),1}), \dots, \alpha_{(d+1,1),d+1} \right), \dots, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left((\zeta_{d+1,N_{d+1}}, \alpha_{(d+1,N_{d+1}),1}), \dots, \alpha_{(d+1,N_{d+1}),d+1} \right) \right) \in \prod_{n=1}^{d+1} C_{N_n}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left| \sum_{k=1}^{d+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_k} \alpha_{(k,j),1} \right) = 1, \quad \alpha_{(k,*),k} \neq 0 \quad (k = 1, \dots, p) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where all ζ s are mutually disjoint as before.

Now the map Π is factored through by the canonical finite-sheeted holomorphic covering projection σ of $\tilde{E}\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$ to $E\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$ and the natural holomorphic bijection ι of $E\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$ to $C\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$:

$$\Pi = \iota \circ \sigma.$$

In particular, ι induces the desired complex manifold structure on $C\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$. $\square$

Remark 3. *On the non-overlap locus $C\{1, \dots, 1\} = C_d - C'_d$, $\{\alpha_{k,1}\}_{k=1}^{d+1}$ in the system of decomposition parameters are nothing but the indices at the fixed points $\{\zeta_k\}_{k=1}^{d+1}$, which implies the assertion of Problem 12-d in [Milnor 06].*

Corollary 2. *If the location and the overlap type of fixed points and the indices at them are fixed, then the resulting subset of $C\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$ has a natural complex manifold structure of dimension $d + 1 - p$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3, we need only to note that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} C\{n_1, \dots, n_p\} = d + p.$$

$\square$

This corollary gives the affirmative answer to a conjecture of Milnor stated in Remark below Problem 12-d [Milnor 06, p.152].

4 The proof of Theorem 1 for the case that $d = 3$

Even in the case that $d = 3$, the arguments of the proof of Theorem 1 can be applied, but we can not exclude the case that $R(z)$ has 4 simple fixed points $0, w_1, w_2, w_3$ such that $1/w_1, 1/w_2, 1/w_3$ lie on the same circle. So, we will treat

this case by direct calculation using a symbolic and algebraic computation system (cf. [Cox, Little and O'Shea 98a], [Cox, Little and O'Shea 98b]).

For this purpose, let $0, w_1, w_2, w_3$ be the set of simple fixed points of a given $R(z)$ of degree 3 (having simple fixed points only). We may assume that the denominator of which has the form $z^3 + b_2z^2 + b_1z + b_0$ with $b_0 \neq 0$ as before. Let

$$T(z) = \frac{z}{pz + q} \quad (q \neq 0),$$

and take the conjugate of $R(z)$ by $T(z)$. Then the coefficients $1, b_1$, and b_0 in the denominator $z^3 + b_2z^2 + b_1z + b_0$ change to

$$\begin{aligned} b_3^*(p) &= w_3w_2w_1p^3 - ((w_2 + w_3)w_1 + w_3w_2)p^2 + (w_1 + w_2 + w_3)p - 1, \\ b_1^*(p, q) &= (w_3w_2w_1 - 2b_0)q^2p - b_1q^2, \text{ and} \\ b_0^*(q) &= -b_0q^3. \end{aligned}$$

So the condition $b_1^*(p, q)/b_0^*(q) = -1$ implies that

$$q = \frac{(w_3w_2w_1 - 2b_0)p - b_1}{b_0}$$

and the condition $b_0^*(p, q)/b_3^*(p) = 1$ is the equation

$$\begin{aligned} &(-w_1^3w_2^3w_3^3 + 6b_0w_1^2w_2^2w_3^2 - 13b_0^2w_1w_2w_3 + 8b_0^3)p^3 \\ &+ ((3w_1^2w_2^2w_3^2 - 12b_0w_1w_2w_3 + 12b_0^2)b_1 + (b_0^2w_2 + b_0^2w_1)w_3 + b_0^2w_1w_2)p^2 \\ &+ ((-3w_1w_2w_3 + 6b_0)b_1^2 - b_0^2w_3 - b_0^2w_2 - b_0^2w_1)p + b_1^3 + b_0^2 = 0, \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

which we write as $A_3p^3 + A_2p^2 + A_1p + A_0 = 0$, where A_k are functions of w_1, w_2, w_3, b_0, b_1 .

Here, we consider the equations

$$A_3 = A_2 = A_1 = 0.$$

By computing the Gröbner basis of lexicographic order $b_1 > b_0 > w_1 > w_2 > w_3$, we obtain the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &w_3 = 0, \quad w_2 = 0, \quad w_1 = 0 \\ \text{or} \quad &W = (w_2^2 - w_1w_2 + w_1^2)w_3^2 + (-w_1w_2^2 - w_1^2w_2)w_3 + w_1^2w_2^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathbb{C}[w_1, w_2, w_3]$. The conditions $w_k = 0$ ($k = 1, 2, 3$) contradict the assumption that $R(z)$ has 4 simple fixed points. Also we recall that the case that $W = 0$ is one excluded in the proof of Theorem 1, and actually the condition $W = 0$ implies that $1/w_1, 1/w_2$, and $1/w_3$ form a regular triangle in $\mathbb{C}$. (If $d \geq 4$, we can assume that there are neither circles nor lines in $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ which contain all non-zero fixed points.)

As before, we consider the conjugate of $R(z)$ by the translation $L_k(z) = z + w_k$ for every k . Here we need to consider the case of $L_1(z) = z + w_1$ only,

for the other cases are similar. Firstly, take the conjugate of $R(z)$ by $L_1(z)$, and secondly take the conjugate by $T(z)$, and we see that $R(z)$ changes to

$$R^\#(z) = \frac{P^\#(z)}{Q^\#(z)}$$

with

$$Q^\#(z) = b_3^\# z^3 + b_2^\# z^2 + b_1^\# z + b_0^\#,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_3^\# &= (w_1^3 + (-w_2 - w_3)w_1^2 + w_3w_2w_1)p^3 + (3w_1^2 + (-2w_2 - 2w_3)w_1 \\ &\quad + w_3w_2)p^2 + (3w_1 - w_2 - w_3)p + 1, \\ b_1^\# &= (2w_1b_1 + 2w_1^2b_2 + 3w_1^3 + (-w_2 - w_3)w_1^2 + w_3w_2w_1 + 2b_0)q^2p \\ &\quad + (b_1 + 2w_1b_2 + 3w_1^2)q^2, \text{ and} \\ b_0^\# &= (w_1b_1 + w_1^2b_2 + w_1^3 + b_0)q^3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the condition $b_1^\# / b_0^\# = -1$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} q &= \frac{-1}{w_1b_1 + w_1^2b_2 + w_1^3 + b_0} \\ &\quad \times \{ (2w_1b_1 + 2w_1^2b_2 + 3w_1^3 - (w_2 + w_3)w_1^2 + w_3w_2w_1 + 2b_0)p \\ &\quad + b_1 + 2w_1b_2 + 3w_1^2 \}, \end{aligned}$$

and the condition $b_0^\# / b_3^\# = 1$ is the equation

$$B_3p^3 + B_2p^2 + B_1p + B_0 = 0 \tag{2}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} B_3 &= - \left\{ w_1b_1 + w_1^2b_2 + 2w_1^3 + (-w_2 - w_3)w_1^2 + w_3w_2w_1 + b_0 \right\} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ 8w_1^2b_1^2 + (16w_1^3b_2 + 21w_1^4 + (-5w_2 - 5w_3)w_1^3 + 5w_3w_2w_1^2 + 16b_0w_1)b_1 \right. \\ &\quad + 8w_1^4b_2^2 + (21w_1^5 + (-5w_2 - 5w_3)w_1^4 + 5w_3w_2w_1^3 + 16b_0w_1^2)b_2 \\ &\quad + 14w_1^6 + (-7w_2 - 7w_3)w_1^5 + (w_2^2 + 9w_3w_2 + w_3^2)w_1^4 \\ &\quad + (-2w_3w_2^2 - 2w_3^2w_2 + 21b_0)w_1^3 + (w_3^2w_2^2 - 5b_0w_2 - 5b_0w_3)w_1^2 \\ &\quad \left. + 5b_0w_3w_2w_1 + 8b_0^2 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_2 = & (-12w_1^2b_1^3 - (48w_1^3b_2 + 75w_1^4 - (14w_2 + 14w_3)w_1^3 + 13w_3w_2w_1^2 + 24b_0w_1)b_1^2 \\
& + (-60w_1^4b_2^2 + (-186w_1^5 + (40w_2 + 40w_3)w_1^4 - 38w_3w_2w_1^3 - 72b_0w_1^2)b_2 \\
& - 141w_1^6 + (58w_2 + 58w_3)w_1^5 + (-3w_2^2 - 62w_3w_2 - 3w_3^2)w_1^4 \\
& + (6w_3w_2^2 + 6w_3^2w_2 - 114b_0)w_1^3 + (-3w_3^2w_2^2 + 16b_0w_2 + 16b_0w_3)w_1^2 \\
& - 14b_0w_3w_2w_1 - 12b_0^2)b_1 - 24w_1^5b_2^3 + (-111w_1^6 + (26w_2 + 26w_3)w_1^5 \\
& - 25w_3w_2w_1^4 - 48b_0w_1^3)b_2^2 + (-168w_1^7 + (76w_2 + 76w_3)w_1^6 \\
& + (-6w_2^2 - 86w_3w_2 - 6w_3^2)w_1^5 + (12w_3w_2^2 + 12w_3^2w_2 - 150b_0)w_1^4 \\
& + (-6w_3^2w_2^2 + 28b_0w_2 + 28b_0w_3)w_1^3 - 26b_0w_3w_2w_1^2 - 24b_0^2w_1)b_2 \\
& - 84w_1^8 + (56w_2 + 56w_3)w_1^7 + (-9w_2^2 - 73w_3w_2 - 9w_3^2)w_1^6 \\
& + (18w_3w_2^2 + 18w_3^2w_2 - 114b_0)w_1^5 + (-9w_3^2w_2^2 + 40b_0w_2 + 40b_0w_3)w_1^4 \\
& - 38b_0w_3w_2w_1^3 - 39b_0^2w_1^2 + (2b_0^2w_2 + 2b_0^2w_3)w_1 - b_0^2w_3w_2),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 = & (-6w_1b_1^3 + (-30w_1^2b_2 - 48w_1^3 + (4w_2 + 4w_3)w_1^2 - 3w_3w_2w_1 - 6b_0)b_1^2 \\
& + (-48w_1^3b_2^2 + (-150w_1^4 + (14w_2 + 14w_3)w_1^3 - 12w_3w_2w_1^2 - 24b_0w_1)b_2 \\
& - 114w_1^5 + (20w_2 + 20w_3)w_1^4 - 18w_3w_2w_1^3 - 42b_0w_1^2 + (2b_0w_2 + 2b_0w_3)w_1)b_1 \\
& - 24w_1^4b_2^3 + (-111w_1^5 + (13w_2 + 13w_3)w_1^4 - 12w_3w_2w_1^3 - 24b_0w_1^2)b_2^2 \\
& + (-168w_1^6 + (38w_2 + 38w_3)w_1^5 - 36w_3w_2w_1^4 - 78b_0w_1^3 + (2b_0w_2 + 2b_0w_3)w_1^2)b_1 \\
& - 84w_1^7 + (28w_2 + 28w_3)w_1^6 - 27w_3w_2w_1^5 - 60b_0w_1^4 + (2b_0w_2 + 2b_0w_3)w_1^3 \\
& - 3b_0^2w_1 + b_0^2w_2 + b_0^2w_3),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
B_0 = & -b_1^3 + (-6w_1b_2 - 10w_1^2)b_1^2 + (-12w_1^2b_2^2 - 38w_1^3b_2 - 29w_1^4 - 2b_0w_1)b_1 \\
& - 8w_1^3b_2^3 - 37w_1^4b_2^2 + (-56w_1^5 - 2b_0w_1^2)b_2 - 28w_1^6 - 2b_0w_1^3 - b_0^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we consider the equations

$$A_3 = A_2 = A_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B_3 = B_2 = B_1 = 0.$$

By computing the Gröbner basis as before, we obtain the conditions

$$w_3 = 0, \quad w_2 = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad w_2 - w_3 = 0,$$

in $\mathbb{C}[w_2, w_3]$, which again gives a contradiction to the assumption. Therefore, the equation either (1) or (2) has a solution p .

Thus we have shown the assertion of Theorem 1 for the case that $d = 3$.

References

[Cox, Little and O'Shea 98a] D. Cox, J. Little, and D. O'Shea, *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms*, UTM, Springer-Verlag, 1998.

- [Cox, Little and O'Shea 98b] D. Cox, J. Little, and D. O'Shea, *Using Algebraic Geometry*, GTM 185, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [Fujimura 07] M. Fujimura, The moduli space of rational maps and surjectivity of multiplier representation, *Comp. Meth. Funct. Th.* **7** (2007), 345–360.
- [Fujimura and Taniguchi 08] M. Fujimura and M. Taniguchi, A compactification of the moduli space of polynomials, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **136** (2008), 3601–3609.
- [McMullen 87] C. McMullen, Families of rational maps and iterative root-finding algorithms, *Ann. of Math.*, **125** (1987), 467–493.
- [Milnor 93] J. Milnor, Geometry and dynamics of quadratic rational maps, *Experiment. Math.*, **2** (1993), 37–83.
- [Milnor 06] J. Milnor, *Dynamics in one complex variable, Third edition*, Princeton University Press, 2006.

Masayo Fujimura, Department of Mathematics, National Defense Academy,
Yokosuka 239-8686, JAPAN (masayo@nda.ac.jp)

Masahiko Taniguchi, Department of Mathematics, Nara Women's University,
Nara 630-8506, JAPAN (tanig@cc.nara-wu.ac.jp)